UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,540	02/26/2004	Urs Jorimann	032498-023	3251
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 08/23/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			-	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/786,540	JORIMANN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cristina Owen Sherr	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 16(a). In no event, however, may a re- ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ma	ay 2007.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,24-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	t.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
-/.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/786,540 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed May 18, 2007. Claims 1-2 and 4-19 are pending in this case.

### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1,2 and 4-19 in the reply filed on May 18, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the additional authentication is being interpreted by the examiner as a different identification technique. This is not found persuasive because even if the technique is the same, you either have one step or two steps to identification or authentication, and you can't simultaneously have one step and two steps. Thus, the species are mutually exclusive.
- 3. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yaung et al (US 6,446,069).
- 7. Regarding claim 1 –

Application/Control Number: 10/786,540

Art Unit: 3621

Yaung discloses a method for controlling electronic records produced by an application software program, wherein designated users performing use the program and apparatus assigned user roles, said method comprising:

- (a) restricting access to the application software program to the designated users through a user authentication;
- (b) assigning a set of user rights to each user role, wherein said designated users are divided into a first group of users who are given a right to sign the electronic records and a second group who are denied the right to sign the electronic records and authenticating the electronic records by means of at least one electronic signature by one of the users of the first group, wherein access to step
- (c) storing the electronic records in a protected data file format; (d) maintaining a history of access entries and activities performed in the application software program; and (e) wherein access is denied to the users from the second group. (e.g. col 5 ln 58-66, col 6 ln 30-58, col 9 ln 1- col 10 ln 11, col 8 ln 23-45).
- 8. Although Yaung does not specifically deal with medical records as in the instant application, it would be obvious to adapt the teaching of Yaung to any type of software available electronically.
- 9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yaung et al (US 6,446,069) in view of "Title 21, CFR Part 11 Electronic Records; Electronic Signatures".
- 10. It would be obvious to combine Yaung and CFR part 11 in order to comply with one arbitrary set of rules rather than another.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,540 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

11. Claims 4-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yaung et al (US 6,446,069).

# 12. Regarding claims 4-10 -

Yaung discloses wherein the at least one electronic signature comprises a plurality of electronic signatures, wherein a signature meaning is attached to each of said plurality of electronic signatures, said signature meaning being selected from a list of signature meanings, wherein the signature meaning indicates a signature status that an electronic record will have as a result of an electronic signature, and wherein each signature meaning in said list is correlated with a signature level in a hierarchy ascending from a lowest to a highest signature level, so that each of said plurality of electronic signatures is hierarchically ranked by way of its attached meaning; wherein the hierarchically ranked meanings include at least one of the meanings Tested", "Reviewed", "Approved", and "Released"; wherein each user of the first group is assigned a maximum signature level that is selected from the signature levels in said list, and wherein said user is not allowed to attach to his/her signature a signature meaning that exceeds said user's assigned maximum signature level; wherein said maximum signature level is assigned to a user in accordance with the user role performed by said user; wherein when the electronic record has already been signed at least once, said user is not allowed to attach to his/her signature a signature meaning that ranks lower than the signature status which the electronic record has as a result of said at least one previous signature; wherein when the electronic record has already been signed at least once, said user is not allowed to attach to his/her signature a signature meaning that

Application/Control Number: 10/786,540 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

does not rank at least one level higher than the signature status which the electronic record has as a result of said at least one previous signature; wherein said user is only allowed to attach to his/her signature a signature meaning exactly one level higher than the signature status which the electronic record has as a result of said at least one previous signature, so that said plurality of signatures follow each other in consecutive ascending order of signature level (e.g. col (col 5 ln 58-66, col 9 ln 1-col 10 lm 11, col 7 ln 35-59, col 8 ln 23-45).

- 13. As above, although Yaung does not specifically deal with medical records as in the instant application, it would be obvious to adapt the teaching of Yaung to any type of software available electronically.
- 14. Regarding claims 11-12 -

Yaung discloses the method wherein a record is fully authenticated after a prescribed number of signatures comprising at least two different signature levels have been attached to said record; wherein the at least two different signature levels comprise a prescribed hierarchically ascending series of signature levels (e.g. col 7 In 35-59, col 8 In 23-45).

15. Regarding claim 13 -

Yaung discloses the method wherein step (e) comprises attaching a remark to the electronic signature (e.g. col 7 ln 60 – col 8 ln 67).

16. Regarding claims 14 – 15 –

Yaung discloses the method wherein the signature levels attached to the signature meanings in said list from the lowest to the highest level are consecutive ascending

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/786,540

Art Unit: 3621

numbers starting at the number one; wherein the signature levels attached to the signature meanings in said list from the lowest to the highest level are nonconsecutive ascending numbers, leaving unused numbers available for additional intermediate signature levels (e.g. col 7 ln 35-59, col 8 ln 23-45).

17. Regarding claims 16-17 –

Yaung discloses the method of claim 6, wherein parts (a) and (b) of the method are performed by a system administrator; wherein said parts (a) and (b) include at east one of: assigning user names and passwords to the designated users, retiring said user names and passwords, assigning the maximum signature level to each user account, and defining the signature meanings and ranking them according to signature levels (e.g. col 7 ln 35-59, col 8 ln 23-45).

- 18. Claims 18-19 are rejected under the same criteria as above.
- 19. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

  Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/786,540

Art Unit: 3621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 20. examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.

Page 7

- 21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner, AU 3621